

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.supplement-1.129

STUDY OF TRAIT RELATIONSHIPS IN F2 POPULATION OF RIDGE GOURD (LUFFA ACUTANGULA L. ROXB.): A CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS

Kavya^{1*}, H. P. Hadimani¹, Satish D.², Shashikanth Evoor¹, Venkateshalu³ and Amulya H. T.¹ ¹Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture U.H.S. Bagalkot, Karnataka 587104, India ²Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Horticulture Bagalkot, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka 587104, India ³Department of Entomology, College of Horticulture, Kolar, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India *Corresponding author E-mail: kummankavya@gmail.com (Date of Receiving : 09-08-2024; Date of Acceptance : 14-10-2024)

The research was conducted at the College of Horticulture, Bagalkot during Rabi 2024 in augmented design. To study correlation and path analysis in the F_2 generation of cross combination Arka Prasan and Sirsi Local. This study evaluated growth, earliness, yield and quality parameters to determine relationship among these traits. Correlation studies and Path analysis in segregating population revealed that total fruit yield per vine had significant positive correlation with vine length at harvest time (0.2460), number of primary branches per (0.3270), days to last harvest (0.5860), fruit length (0.3630), average fruit weight (0.6810) and number of fruits per vine (0.6190). Direct effect on fruit yield per vine ABSTRACT was exhibited by traits like vine length at final harvest (0.0140), number of primary branches (0.0066), days to first female flower (0.0280), node at first female flower (0.0080), days to first harvest (0.0292), average fruit weight (0.7877) and number of fruits per vine (0.8645). Correlation studies in F_2 population revealed that total fruit yield per vine had significant positive correlation with number of fruits per vine, average fruit weight and fruit length. Average fruit weight and number of fruits per vine had high positive direct association with fruit yield per vine in F₂ population. Hence, direct selection of these traits would be more useful in the improvement of fruit yield per vine.

Keywords: Ridge gourd, Correlation, Path co-efficient analysis, Fruit yield per vine.

Introduction

Ridge gourd [Luffa acutangula (L.) Roxb.] is a warm-season vegetable cultivated extensively across India, with a long history in tropical regions of Asia and Africa (Sheshadri, 1980). It belongs to the genus Luffa within the Cucurbitaceae family, with diploid chromosome number of 2n = 26. Originating in India, ridge gourd is grown in tropical climate for its tender, edible fruits, both commercially and in home gardens and is favoured as a crop during the spring-summer and rainy seasons. This versatile vegetable is an excellent source of essential nutrients, including vitamin C, potassium and fibre, making it a valuable ingredient promoting digestive health and immune function. The seeds are known for their purgative,

emetic and antihelmintic properties, attributed to the secondary metabolite cucurbitacin (Robinson and Decker-Walters, 1997). Ridge gourd is a climbing plant with a deep tap root system and simple, green, ovate leaves that typically have 5 to 7 lobes. While cultivated varieties are generally monoecious, several sex forms have been observed, including gynomonoecious, androecious. gynoecious andromonoecious and hermaphrodite (Choudhary and Thakur, 1965). The staminate flowers, featuring five fused stamens, grow in clusters of 10 to 20, while the pistillate flowers are solitary, varying in peduncle length and have a pleasant fragrance. Both types of flowers appear in the leaf axils. Flowering occurs between 5 and 7 PM and continues throughout the night, becoming ready for self-pollination during anthesis. The timing of flowering and anther dehiscence is influenced by temperature and humidity. The anthers are free and the pistil contains three placentas with multiple ovules. The stigmas are bilobate and three in number. The fruit is club-shaped, angular and has ten prominent ridges, containing numerous seeds. As it matures, the fruit hardens, becomes ridged and is no longer edible. The seeds are black, flattened and wrinkled, with a weight of about 150 to 170 grams per 1,000 seeds (Doijode, 2002).

Crop improvement efforts should prioritize selecting genotypes that enhance yield, quality and resistance to biotic stresses. Selection has long been a key element of vegetable crop enhancement and effective selection requires understanding the variation in traits that contribute to yield, as well as the environmental influence on these traits. It's important to ensure that the selected traits are inheritable, as much of the phenotypic variation can arise from environmental factors.

Biometrical methods in crop improvement helps to evaluate the phenotypic expression of traits in relation to their genetic value. Before improving yield, it's essential to analyse correlations and path coefficients among yield components, as relying solely on yield can be misleading due to its complexity. Correlation coefficients can show associations between traits but may not reveal the direct and indirect effects on yield. Path coefficient analysis, developed by Wright (1921), offers a more reliable method by distinguishing these effects. Given that little work has been done on the genetic improvement of ridge gourd, this study aims to explore correlation and path coefficient analysis for the species.

Material and Methods

The research was carried out at the University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, specifically at the College of Horticulture, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India, from January to May 2024. Hybrid Arka Prasan x Sirsi Local-2 were chosen to create the experimental material needed for the correlation and path analysis studies in the F₂ generation. Following selfing of this hybrid, F₂ seeds were obtained and used for the main experiment focusing on correlation and path studies. The experiment was carried out by using augmented design since each plant in the F_2 population was treated as a single genotype. Sowing took place on January 2024, at the Vegetable Science field of the College of Horticulture, Bagalkot. Seeds were pretreated with butter milk to enhance germination, then sown in trays filled with coco peat. Seedlings were transplanted to the main field 16 days after germination. Correlation and path analysis were conducted to evaluate growth, earliness, yield and quality parameters.

Observations were made on various parameters including growth metrics such as vine length at harvest time (m), the number of primary branches at 45 DAP, days to first male flowering, days to first female flowering, the node of first female flowering, the node of first male flowering, sex ratio (male to female), days to first harvest and days to last harvest. Yield parameters includes the number of fruits per vine, fruit length (cm), fruit weight (g), fruit diameter (mm), fruit yield per vine (kg), number of seeds per fruit. Quality parameter measured was fruit tenderness. The observations are detailed in the below tables.

Results and Discussion

Increased yield can be achieved by using indirect selection based on morphological traits. This requires a thorough understanding of how these traits are related, as this helps in enhancing yield by improving various associated characteristics. To explore this, correlation studies are conducted to evaluate the potential for improving yield through indirect selection of yield-related attributes. The correlation coefficients between yield and its attributes were measured in two F_2 population are discussed below

The fruit yield per vine showed positive and significant association with vine length at harvest time (0.2460), number of primary branches per vine (0.3270), days to last harvest (0.5860), fruit length (0.3630), average fruit weight (0.6810), number of fruits per vine (0.6190), number of seeds per fruit (0.4930), while the correlation with fruit diameter (0.1350) and fruit tenderness (0.0510) was positive but not significant. Since, these association characters are in the desirable direction, selection for these traits may improve the yield per vine. Conversely, Fruit yield per vine had significant negative correlations with days to first male flower (-0.1970), days to first female flower (-0.1930), node at first male flower (-0.2000), node at first female flower (-0.1990), days to first harvest (-(0.4160) and sex ratio (-(0.2900)). Implies that as days to first female and male flowering increases and fruit yield per pant decreases. Matching conclusions were confirmed by Gowda et al. (2011), Rabbani et al. (2012), Chowdary et al. (2014), Narasannanavar et al. (2014), Koppad et al. (2015), Khatoon et al. (2016), Manoj et al.(2018), Bhusnar (2019), Kannan, and Rajamanickam (2019), Akhila and Singh (2020) and Vijayakumar et al. (2020) in ridge gourd as presented in Table 1.

| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | DFF DFM NMF NFF DFHT DLHT | SR FL | ED | AVGWT | NFPT | NSF | FT | FYV |
|---|---|---|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | 640 -0.0200 -0.0150 -0.0160 -0.1330 0.1640 | -0.1810^{*} | 0.1120 -0.0080 | 0.1130 | 0.1940^{**} | 0.0690 | 0.0340 | 0.2460^{**} |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 040 -0.1060 -0.0610 0.0420 -0.2330** 0.3040 | ** -0.2250** 0.10 | 0.1370 | 0.2020^{**} | 0.2210^{**} 0.1570^{*} | 0.1570^{*} | 0.0800 | 0.3270^{**} |
| 1.0000 0.0460 0.0200 0.2940^{**} -0.1590^{*} 1.0000 0.9000^{**} 0.1010 -0.1200 0.1200 1.0000 0.9000^{**} 0.1010 -0.1200 0.1200 1.0000 0.9000^{**} 0.1010 -0.1200 0.1200 1.0000 0.1040 0.03850^{**} 0.1010 0.1000 0.1000 0.1000 0.1040 0.1040 -0.3850^{**} 0.1000 0.1000 0.1000 0.1000 0.1000 0.1000 0.1040 0.1040 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.10000 0.100000 0.100000 0.100000 0 | 000 0.9430** 0.0300 0.0360 0.2720** 0.1910 | 0.0780 | $-0.0240 -0.0100 -0.0550 -0.2010^{**} -0.0180$ | -0.0550 | -0.2010^{**} | -0.0180 | 0.0030 | -0.1930^{**} |
| 1.0000 0.9000^{**} 0.1010 -0.1200 0.1200 1.0000 0.1040 -0.0800 0.0800 0.0800 0.0800 1.0000 0.1040 -0.3850^{**} 0.0000 0.1040 0.0800 0.0800 1.0000 0.1040 0.1040 0.03850^{**} 0.0800 0.0800 0.0800 0.0000 0.01040 0.01040 0.01040 0.01040 0.01040 0.01040 $P \le 0.01$ $P \ge 0.01$ P \ge 0.01 P \ge 0.01 | $0.0460 0.0200 0.2940^{**}$ | 0.0620 | 0.0140 -0.0250 | -0.0680 | -0.0680 -0.1900^{**} -0.0720 | -0.0720 | 0.0160 | -0.1970** |
| 1.0000 0.1040 -0.0800 0 1.0000 -0.3850** 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 1.0000 1.0000 0 0 <td>1.0000 0.9000** 0.1010 -0.120</td> <td>0.1760* -0.0</td> <td>500 -0.0660</td> <td>-0.1630*</td> <td>-0.1110</td> <td>-0.1110 -0.1060 -0.2100** -0.2000**</td> <td>-0.2100**</td> <td>-0.2000^{**}</td> | 1.0000 0.9000** 0.1010 -0.120 | 0.1760* -0.0 | 500 -0.0660 | -0.1630* | -0.1110 | -0.1110 -0.1060 -0.2100** -0.2000** | -0.2100** | -0.2000^{**} |
| 1.0000 -0.3850^{**} (0 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 P P P 0.01 respectively NFF- Node at first female flower | 0.1040 | -0.0800 0.1770* -0.0630 -0.0870 -0.1880** | 530 -0.0870 | -0.1880^{**} | | -0.0850 -0.1210 -0.1730^{*} -0.1990^{**} | -0.1730* | -0.1990^{**} |
| $P \leq 0.01 \text{ respectively}$ | | $-0.3850^{**} \\ 0.2220^{**} \\ -0.1890^{**} \\ -0.0520 \\ -0.0520 \\ -0.2370^{**} \\ -0.3410^{**} \\ -0.2480^{**} \\ $ | 90** -0.0520 | -0.2370** | -0.3410** | -0.2480** | -0.0880 | -0.0880 -0.4160^{**} |
| $P \leq 0.01 \text{ respectively}$ | 1.0000 | 1.0000 0.2030^{**} 0.2400^{**} 0.0470 0.4290^{**} 0.3490^{**} 0.2500^{**} | 0.0470 | 0.4290^{**} | 0.3490^{**} | 0.2500^{**} | 0.0140 | 0.0140 0.5860^{**} |
| $P \leq 0.01$ respectively NFF- Node at first female flower | | 1.0000 -0.2800** -0.1710* -0.1930** -0.1630* -0.1470* | 00** -0.1710* | -0.1930** | -0.1630^{*} | -0.1470* | -0.1000 | -0.1000 -0.2900^{**} |
| $P \leq 0.01$ respectively NFF- Node at first female flower | | 1.0(| 1.0000 0.0650 | 0.0650 0.2770** | | 0.1890^{**} 0.1970^{**} | -0.0690 | 0.3630^{**} |
| $P \leq 0.01$ respectively NFF- Node at first female flower | | | 1.0000 | 1.0000 0.1990** | | -0.0120 0.0770 | 0.1550^{*} | 0.1350 |
| $P \leq 0.01$ respectively NFF- Node at first female flower | | | | 1.0000 | | -0.1390 0.3730** | -0.0170 | -0.0170 0.6810** |
| $P \leq 0.01$ respectively NFF Node at first female flower | | | | | 1.0000 | 0.2820 | 0.0950 | 0.6190^{**} |
| $P \leq 0.01$ respectively NFF-Node at first female flower | | | | | | 1.0000 | 0.0070 | 0.4930^{**} |
| $P \leq 0.01$ respectively NFF-Node at first female flower | | | | | | | 1.0000 | 0.0510 |
| $P \leq 0.01$ respectively NFF-Node at first female flower | | | | | | | | 1.0000 |
| | $P \leq 0.01$ | FD- Fruit diameter (mm) AVGWT- Average fruit weight (g) NFPT- Number of fruits per vine FT- Fruit tenderness (N) FYV- Fruit yield per vine (kg) | eter (mm) age fruit weig of fruits per v mess (N) d per vine (kg) | ht (g) ine | | | | |

Kavya *et al*.

| Table 2 : Phenotypic path coefficients of various traits in F ₂ generation of Arka Prasan x Sirsi Local | path coef | ficients c | of various | traits in l | F ₂ genera | tion of A | rka Prasi | ın x Sirsi | Local | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------|
| Traits | ٧L | PBPT | DFF | DFM | NMF | NFF | DFHT | DLHT | SR | FL | FD | AVGWT | NFPT | NSF | FT | rP |
| ٨L | 0.0140 | 0.0020 | 0.0140 0.0020 -0.0018 0.0008 | | 0.0000 | -0.0001 | 0.0000 -0.0001 -0.0039 -0.0010 0.0047 0.0009 0.0001 | -0.0010 | 0.0047 | 0.0009 | 0.0001 | 0.0887 | 0.1419 | 0.1419 -0.0004 0.0000 | | 0.2459^{**} |
| PBPT | 0.0042 | 0.0066 | -0.0029 | 0.0042 0.0066 -0.0029 0.0041 -0.0002 -0.0003 -0.0068 -0.0018 0.0058 0.0008 -0.0023 | -0.0002 | -0.0003 | -0.0068 | -0.0018 | 0.0058 | 0.0008 | -0.0023 | 0.1594 | 0.1616 | 0.1616 -0.0008 -0.0001 | | 0.3273^{**} |
| DFF | -0.0009 | -0.0007 | -0.0009 -0.0007 0.0280 -0.0363 | | 0.0001 | -0.0003 | 0.0080 | 0.0011 -0.0020 -0.0002 | -0.0020 | -0.0002 | 0.0002 | -0.0433 | -0.1469 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | -0.1932** |
| DFM | -0.0003 | -0.0007 | -0.0003 -0.0007 0.0264 -0.038 | 5 | 0.0001 | -0.0002 | | 0.0086 0.0009 -0.0016 0.0001 0.0004 | -0.0016 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | -0.0539 | -0.1390 | -0.1390 0.0004 | 0.0000 | -0.1972** |
| NMF | -0.0002 | -0.0004 | -0.0002 -0.0004 0.0009 -0.001 | 8 | 0.0025 | 0.0072 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 0.0007 -0.0046 -0.0004 0.0011 | -0.0046 | -0.0004 | 0.0011 | -0.1281 | -0.0810 0.0006 0.0002 | 0.0006 | | -0.2004^{**} |
| NFF | -0.0002 | -0.0003 | -0.0002 -0.0003 -0.0010 0.0008 | | 0.0023 | 0.0080 | 0.0031 | 0.0005 -0.0046 -0.0005 0.0015 | -0.0046 | -0.0005 | 0.0015 | -0.1477 | -0.0619 | -0.0619 0.0006 0.0002 | 0.0002 | -0.1994** |
| DFHT | -0.0019 | -0.0015 | -0.0019 -0.0015 0.0076 -0.0113 | | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0292 | | 0.0022 -0.0058 -0.0016 0.0009 | -0.0016 | 0.0009 | -0.1869 | -0.2491 | 0.0013 | 0.0001 | -0.4157** |
| DLHT | 0.0023 | 0.0020 | 0.0023 0.0020 -0.0053 0.0061 | 0.0061 | -0.0003 | -0.0003 -0.0006 -0.0112 | -0.0112 | -0.0058 0.0053 | | 0.0020 -0.0008 | -0.0008 | 0.3383 | 0.2553 | -0.0013 | 0.0000 | 0.5858** |
| SR | -0.0026 | -0.0015 | 0.0022 | -0.0026 -0.0015 0.0022 -0.0024 | 0.0005 | 0.0014 | 0.0065 | 0.0012 -0.0259 -0.0023 0.0029 | -0.0259 | -0.0023 | 0.0029 | -0.1517 | -0.1191 | 0.0008 | 0.0001 | -0.2899** |
| FL | 0.0016 | 0.0007 | -0.0007 | 0.0016 0.0007 -0.0007 -0.0006 -0.0001 -0.0005 -0.0055 -0.0014 0.0073 0.0082 -0.0011 | -0.0001 | -0.0005 | -0.0055 | -0.0014 | 0.0073 | 0.0082 | -0.0011 | 0.2179 | 0.1380 | 0.1380 -0.0010 0.0001 | | 0.3628^{**} |
| FD | -0.0001 | 0.0009 | -0.0003 | $-0.0001 \left \begin{array}{c} 0.0009 \\ -0.0003 \\ \end{array} \right -0.0010 \left \begin{array}{c} -0.0002 \\ -0.0002 \\ \end{array} \right -0.0007 \left \begin{array}{c} -0.0003 \\ -0.0003 \\ \end{array} \right 0.0044 \\ \end{array}$ | -0.0002 | -0.0007 | -0.0015 | -0.0003 | 0.0044 | 0.0005 -0.0170 | -0.0170 | 0.1569 | -0.0086 | -0.0086 -0.0004 -0.0002 | -0.0002 | 0.1346 |
| AVGWT | 0.0016 | 0.0013 | -0.0015 | 0.0016 0.0013 -0.0015 0.0026 -0.0004 -0.0015 -0.0069 -0.0025 0.0050 0.0023 -0.0034 | -0.004 | -0.0015 | -0.0069 | -0.0025 | 0.0050 | 0.0023 | -0.0034 | 0.7877 | -0.1013 | -0.0020 0.0000 | | 0.6810^{**} |
| NFPT | 0.0027 | 0.0015 | -0.0056 | 0.0027 0.0015 -0.0056 0.0073 -0.0003 -0.0007 -0.0099 -0.0020 0.0042 | -0.0003 | -0.0007 | -0.0099 | -0.0020 | 0.0042 | 0.0016 0.0002 | 0.0002 | -0.1091 | 0.7312 | -0.0015 -0.0001 | | 0.6195** |
| NSF | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | -0.0005 | 0.0010 0.0010 -0.0005 0.0028 -0.0003 -0.0010 -0.0073 -0.0015 0.0038 0.0016 -0.0013 | -0.0003 | -0.0010 | -0.0073 | -0.0015 | 0.0038 | 0.0016 | -0.0013 | 0.2935 | 0.2066 | 0.2066 -0.0052 0.0000 | | 0.4933^{**} |
| FT | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.0001 | 0.0005 0.0005 0.0001 -0.0006 -0.0005 -0.0014 -0.0026 -0.0001 0.0026 -0.0006 -0.0026 | -0.0005 | -0.0014 | -0.0026 | -0.0001 | 0.0026 | -0.0006 | -0.0026 | -0.0132 | 8690.0 | 0.0000 -0.0010 | -0.0010 | 0.0510 |
| Residual effect = 0.01481 | | iagonal va | lues indic. | Diagonal values indicate direct effect | | P: Phenot | rP: Phenotypic correlation coefficient of fruit yield per vine | slation coe | efficient o | f fruit yie | ld per vine | | | | | |
| VL- Vine length at final harvest (m) PBPT- Number of primary branches (45DAT) DFF- Days to first female flower DFM- Days to first male flower NFM- Node at first male flower | harvest (r ary branch le flower e flower | n) les (45DA | (L | ZOOSE | NFF- Node at first fi DFHT- Days to first DLHT- Days to last SR- Sex ratio (M: F) FL- Fruit length (cm | NFF- Node at first female fl DFHT- Days to first harvest DLHT- Days to last harvest SR- Sex ratio (M: F) FL- Fruit length (cm) | NFF- Node at first female flower DFHT- Days to first harvest DLHT - Days to last harvest SR- Sex ratio (M: F) FL- Fruit length (cm) | /er | | FD- F AVG NFPT FT- F | FD- Fruit diameter (mm) AVGWT- Average fruit NFPT- Number of fruits FT- Fruit tenderness (N) | FD- Fruit diameter (mm) AVGWT- Average fruit weight (g) NFPT- Number of fruits per vine FT- Fruit tenderness (N) | sight (g) r vine | | | |

Study of trait relationships in F_2 population of ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula L. Roxb.) : A correlation and path analysis

Correlation study shows the relationship between the independent and dependent variables without establishing a specific cause and effect link. By using path coefficient analysis can break down correlation into the direct and indirect contributions of various quantitative trait. The path coefficients indicating the nature and magnitude of direct and indirect effects of different characters towards fruit yield per vine were analysed at phenotypic level. Essentially, it is a form of standardized partial regression analysis that breaks down overall correlation values into the contributions of individual causal factors.

In the present investigation path coefficient analysis in F₂ population revealed positive direct effect on fruit yield per vine with the traits, like vine length at final harvest (0.0140), number of primary branches (0.0066), days to first female flower (0.0280), node at first male flower appear (0.0025), node at first female flower appear (0.0080), days to first harvest (0.0292), fruit length (0.0082), average fruit weight (0.7877) and number of fruits per vine (0.7312) showed positive direct effects. These effects were influencing directly in increasing the yield of the crop, selecting the traits which directly influence the yield will be more desirable for the crop improvement. But six characters viz., days to first male flower (-0.0385), days taken to last harvest (-0.0058), sex ratio (-0.0259), fruit diameter (-0.0170), number of seeds per fruit (-0.0052) and fruit tenderness (-0.0010) were shown negligible negative, direct effect on total yield. These results are in line with the findings of Khule et al. (2011), Narasannanavar et al. (2014), Kanimozhi et al. (2015), Varalakshmi et al. (2015),Ananthan and Krishnamoorthy (2017), Pooja (2018), Ramesh et al. (2018), Kannan et al. (2019), Harshitha et al. (2019), Krishnamoorthy (2020) and Vijayakumar et al. (2020) as presented in Table 2.

Conclusion

studies and Path analysis Correlation in segregating population revealed that total fruit yield per vine had significant positive correlation with vine length at harvest time, number of primary branches per vine, days to last harvest, average fruit weight and number of fruits per vine. As there is increase in number of fruits per vine, average fruit weight certainly there will be increase in fruit yield, this can said to be one of the desirable characters for the improvement. Since, these association characters are in the desirable direction, selection for these traits may improve the yield per vine. Direct effect on fruit yield per vine with the traits, like vine length at final harvest, number of primary branches, days to first female flower, node at first female flower, days to first

harvest, average fruit weight and number of fruits per vine. These effects were influencing directly increasing the yield of the crop. Hence, focusing on direct selection for these characteristics would be highly advantageous in enhancing yield.

References

- Akhila, K. and Singh, D. (2020). Genetic variability in ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula (L.) Roxb.). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 9(10), 2774-2783.
- Bhusnar, A.D., 2019, Genetic studies of F_3 and F_4 generation in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. (Roxb.) L.). M.Sc. Thesis, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra (India).
- Ananthan, M. and Krishnamoorthy, V. (2017). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb) L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 6(6), 3022-3026.
- Choudhary, B. and Thakur, M.R. (1965). Inheritance of sex forms in Luffa. *Indian J. of Genetic and Plant Breeding*, 5(2), 188-197.
- Doijode, S.D. (2002). Storage of horticultural crops, CBS publishers and distributers, Darya Ganja, New Delhi. 296-297.
- Gowda, H.K., Shirol, A.M., Mulge, R. and Prasadkumar, T.S. (2011). Correlation co-efficient studies in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 25(1), 160-162.
- Harshitha, S., Sood, M., Indiresh, K.M. and Prakash, B.G. (2019). Correlation studies and path coefficient analysis in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.) genotypes. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 8(12), 454-460.
- Kanimozhi, R., Yassin, G.M., Kumar, S.R., Kanthaswamy, V. and Thirumeni, S. (2015) Genetic analysis in segregating generation of wax gourd. *Int. J. Veg. Sci.*, **21(3)**, 281-96.
- Kannan, A. and Rajamanickam, C. (2019). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of F₅ generation of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.) for yield and quality. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 8(11), 1153-1164.
- Kannan, A., Rajamanickam, C., Krishamoorthy, V. and Arunachalam, P. (2019). Genectic variability, correlation and path analysis in F₄ generation of (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb) *L.*). *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, **7(3)**, 208-213.
- Khatoon, U., Dubey, R.K., Sing, V., Upadhyay, K. and Pandey A.K. (2016). Selection parameters for fruit yield and related traits in *Luffa acutangula* (roxb.) L. *Bangladesh J. Bot.*, 45(1), 75-84.
- Khule, A.A., Tikka, S.B.S., Jadhav, D.J. and Kajale, D.B. (2011). Genetic variability and heritability studies in local collections of sponge gourd (*Luffa cylindrical* L.). Asian. J. Biol. Sci., 6(1), 119-120.
- Koppad, S.B., Chavan, M.L., Hallur, R.H., Rathod, V. and Shantappa T. (2015). Variability and character association studies in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* Roxb.) with reference to yield attributes. J. Global Biosci., 4(5), 2332-2342.
- Krishnamoorthy, V. (2020). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb) L.). *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, 8(6), 662-667.
- Manoj, Y.B., Lakshmana, D., Ganapathi, M. and Chandana, B.C. (2018). Studies on character association and genetic variability for important traits in ridge gourd (*Luffa* acutangula L.). Green Farming Int. J., 9(2), 244-247.

- Narasannavar, A., Gasti, V.D. and Malghan, S. (2014). Correlation and path analysis studies in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.). *Biosci Trends.*, 7(13), 1603-1607.
- Pooja, G. (2018). Genetic variability studies on F₃ segregating population of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.)
 Ph.D. Thesis. *Orissa Univ. Agric. and Tech.*, Bhubaneshwar (India).
- Rabbani, M.G., Naher, M.J. and Hoque, S. (2012). Variability, character association and diversity analysis of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L) Roxb.) genotypes of Bangladesh. SAARC J. Agric., **10**(2), 1-10.
- Robinson, R.W. and Decker-Walters, D.S. (1997). Cucurbits. New York Cab International. 226-227.
- Ramesh, N.D., Choyal, P., Dewangan, R., Gudadinni, P.S., Ligade, P.P. and Seervi, K.S. (2018). Correlation and path analysis study in studied in ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula

(L.) Roxb.). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 7(8), 1511-1519.

- Sheshadri, V.S. and Parthasarthy, U.A. Cucurbits in Vegetable crops in: Bose, T.J., Maity, T.K., Parthasarthy, V.A. and Som, M.G. (1980) (Eds.) Veg. Crops. 496-497.
- Varalakshmi, B., Pitchaimuthu, M., Rao, E.S., Manjunath, K.S.S. and Swathi, S.H. (2015). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in ridge gourd (*Luffa* acutangula. L). J. Hort. Sci., 10(2), 21-26.
- Vijayakumar, R., Rajamanickam, C., Beaulah, A. and Arunachalam P. (2020). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb) L.) for yield and quality, *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, 9(7), 1012-1019.
- Wright, S. (1921). Correlation and causation. J. Agric. Res., 20(7), 557-585.